Survival and growth of marked fish was normal during the test period. Whether growth interfered with mark retention was not investigated. Largemouth bass exhibited the highest growth rate and their reduced mark quality may suggest some correlation.

A disadvantage with the compressed air and fluorescent pigment technique is the required use of ultraviolet light for mark detection. However, in studies where the investigator desires exclusive knowledge of marked fish this character would be desirable. Also, detection rate is only 5-10 seconds per fish for a trained worker.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to acknowledge Mr. Wesley V. Fish, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, for his assistance throughout the study. Thanks are also due to the personnel of the Richloam Fish Hatchery, Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, for their cooperation.

LITERATURE CITED

Jackson, C. F. 1959. A Technique for Mass-Marking Fish by Means of Compressed Air. New Hampshire Fish and Game Dept. Tech. Circ. 17: 1-8.

Phinney, Duane E. 1966. Mass-Marking Small Fish With Fluorescent Pigment By Means of Compressed Air. Univ. Washington, Fish. Res. Inst. Circ. 66-6: 1-4.

Phinney, D. E., D. M. Miller and M. L. Dahlberg. 1967. Mass-Marking Young Salmonids With Fluorescent Pigment. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 96 (2): 157-162.

Wegener, W. L. 1965. A Tag Comparison Study of Largemouth Bass in Their Natural Environment. Proc. 19th Ann. Conf. S.E. Assoc. Game and Fish Comms. 258-264.

CERTAIN ADVANTAGES OF SIMPLE FORMULATION IN FISH STUDIES AND STATISTICS

By Clark A. Ritchie

ABSTRACT

The growth of fish is an important factor in the useful studies of fish populations. There are several well known methods of comparing growth of fish. Only one will be discussed in this paper. This method uses the formula W = KLⁿ where W is weight in grams, k is a constant, L is length in millimeters, and n is a power, usually near 3. This paper will espouse a variant of the formula, equating $W = KL^3$. Here, the variable n becomes a constant 3, eliminating the vagaries of n; and the constant k now becomes a variable K changing with length, in order to maintain mathematical validity. K varies with L in this paper although it could be made to vary with W and to some approximate degree with age. It will be shown that the equation holds regardless of the size of the fish. The advantage of the simplified hyperbolic equation is that it reduces the imput to three variables. So one variable which usually varies with length becomes the sole means of comparing the plumpness and condition of fish. This eliminates the fuzzy mathematical judgment involved when both changes in a constant and a power are involved in comparisons. It will be shown by illustration and example that this concept readily lends itself to simple single setting computer type solutions for K, L or W; and to available tabular solutions in both English and metric systems. Thus, a method and three aids are proposed to decrease the effort and increase the reliability and usefulness in fisheries studies.

The world needs protein. Water provides the environment for production of such protein, and fish are a well known and highly acceptable source. Fish may well be the healthiest basic food. There are various yardsticks for measuring fish production, such as money value, tons of fish, and various breakdowns by fisheries. Age-weight

Copyright 1968 by Clark A. Ritchie

and weight-length studies have an important bearing on the entire production outlook. Of less importance from a nutritional point of view, but still a significant contributor to food is sport fishing. This use of fisheries resource has an increasing and far reaching stimulus to the economy of the United States. Any useful method or improved tool which is readily accessible to the fishery biologist to reduce his work and improve his effectiveness will also be useful to the fisheries analysts, managers and administration.

A modified method which lends itself to such use as an effective and simple tool is the purpose of this paper. It is aimed specifically at the weight-length-conditon factor phase.

The simplest general formula used in the weight-length relationship is $W = kL^n$ where W is weight in grams, k is a constant, L is length in millimeters and n is a power usually near 3. The English system version of this formula is $W = cL^n$ where W is weight in pounds, c is a constant, L is length in inches, and n in the English system equals n in the metric system. For convenience it is common practice to divide the right hand side of the equation by 100,000 or 10^{-5} in order to bring the constant near unity. The one important modification in this paper is that the power n will always equal 3, and in order to keep the equation valid, something must be changed or added. The author has chosen to make the constant k or c a variable which changes with length. The new variables will be K or C (capitals) where formerly they were k or c (lower case letters). For some few cases where the former n equaled 3, the new variables will be a constant but, as formerly, will usually change with the species. To reduce conversion errors on which more statistical information was available to the writer the English system formula will be used.

$$W = CL^{3} \times 10^{-1}$$

Note particularly that C varies with length. Of course, it could be made to vary with weight and in some cases approximately with age. The writer claims no originality for the idea of holding n = 3 in the basic formula. However, he has not found in the literature the reasons set forth regarding the validity of the proposal and specific purpose to permit simple computations, comparisons, tabular solutions, and dial computer checks.

Validity of the Formula

Graphics will be presented to give a visual picture. Plot of the formula on linear graph paper, Figure 1, gives a family of third degree hyperbolic curves in common usage. For illustrative purposes C is varied in four steps as shown, C = 1, C = 10, C = 50, and C = 100. Note that 4 curves are formed all originating at W = 0, L = 0. Next these same curves are plotted on log log paper, Figure 2. Four parallel lines result, all with the same slope (3 to 1 because n = 3). On log log paper it is easy to visualize several things, viz.:

1. If one or more points are questionable, it is easier to identify such points.

2. If all points are not in a straight line, it is easier to pass a curve (straight line) through them, selecting a weighted average. This is particularly true at extreme values.

3. In the case where the points change from the 3rd degree curve, it is easy to describe the variation by using the new C value at that length.

4. The life cycle appears actually to be the locus of a point which changes during the yearly cycle usually on either side of the C line characteristic of the species, and with certain dramatic changes usually occurring, for instance, at the time of spawning or with a sudden large ingestion of food.

5. The slope (linear) of the curve on log log paper is the power n. It is obvious on log log paper that in order to be meaningful, the length of the curve should extend for adult fish from maturity to nearly old age. In the old method, the shorter the interval, the greater the error in n. In fact, n goes to + infinity with each ingestion, to zero with each defecation by the old method. One does not need to search the literature extensively to find an obvious difference or discrepancy in the value of n for the same species. By the method used herein, n = 3 and C changes to



accommodate the change in weight. By establishing that n = 3 throughout and for all fish, the comparison is limited to one variable, "C". For example, if a certain fish, Condition Factor C = 30, length 40 inches, weight 19.200 pounds, eats a 1.920 pound fish, his length does not immediately change; his weight goes to 21.12 pounds immediately, a 10% increase, and the C changes to 33, which is also a 10% increase. At the same length, the weights are proportional to the Condition Factors. To compare two different fish populations of the same species where both n and c vary



(old method) it is necessary to calculate both formulae for every point of comparison; a time consuming and tedious chore, involving logarithmic calculation. By the method espoused herein where n = 3 and only C varies at each point of comparison, the weights will vary directly as C. C may sometimes be expressed by formula, or the notation may be emperical. In Figure 4 the C for Channel Catfish is approximately expressed by the formula, C = 32 +.64(L - 15) where L is Length in Inches. This problem is readily solved by Weight Length, Condition Factor tables, a page of which is shown, Figure 3. The tables solve for W, L, or C when any 2 are known. The tables from which Figure 3 is an extract, cover fish from 0 to 109" in length, from 0 to 1295.03 pounds weight (5 place accuracy) and for condition factors 1 to 100. Weights are direct reading above 1 pound, and show the reciprocal of weight or number of fish per pound below 1 pound. To obtain actual weight of fingerlings and fry below one pound move the decimal for length one place to the right and the answer for weight three places to the left. Example; find the weight of a 6.1 inch fish, Condition Factor 30. Enter Condition Factor C = 30 table - find 61 inches length. Weight is 68.094 pounds. Move decimal three places to left, Answer .068094 pounds, Also, for fish longer than 109", move decimal place one place to left for length and move resulting answer for weight 3 places to right. Various table arrangements are available in both the English and metric systems. This method lends itself not only to fish, but to all water-suspended life forms from the smallest single celled organism to the largest whale. The principle holds for any magnitude and for any life form with constant density.

	6	-4.572	2.058	7.317	17.796	35.295	61.614	98.553	147.912	211.491	291.090	388.509		-4.157	2.263	8.048	19.575	38.824	67.775	108.408	162.703	232.640	320.199	427.360
EXTRACT from RITCHIE'S FISH WEIGHT LENGTH CONDITION FACTOR TABLES*	actor is 30.0 8	-6.510	1.750	6.586	16.462	33.178	58.534	94.330	142.366	204.442	282.358	377.914	actor is 33.0	-5.919	1.925	7.244	18.108	36.495	64.387	103.763	156.602	224.886	310.593	415.705
	Condition F 7	09.718	1.474	5.905	15.196	31.147	55,558	90.229	136.960	197.551	273.802	367.513	Condition F	-8.835	1.621	6.495	16.715	34.262	61.114	99.252	150.656	217.306	301.182	404.264
	Q	-15.432	1.229	5.273	13.997	29.201	52.685	86.249	131.693	190.817	265.421	357.305		-14.029	1.352	5.800	15.396	32.121	57.953	94.874	144.862	209.898	291.963	393.035
	Minus Sign Indicates Fish Per Pound 2 3 4 5	-26.667	1.013	4.688	12.863	27.338	49.913	82.388	126.563	184.238	257.213	347.288		-24.242	1.114	5.156	14.149	30.071	54,904	90.626	139.219	202,661	282.934	382.016
		-52.083	-1.215	4.147	11.791	25.555	47.239	78.643	121.567	177.811	249.175	337.459		-47.348	-1.104	4.562	12.970	28.111	51.963	86.508	133.724	195.592	274.093	371.205
		-123.457	-1.517	3.650	10.781	23.852	44.663	75.014	116.705	171.536	241.307	327.818		-112.233	-1.379	4.015	11.859	26.237	49.129	82.516	128.376	188.690	265.438	360.600
		-416.667	-1.929	3.194	9.830	22.226	42.182	71.498	111.974	165.410	233.606	318.362		-378.788	-1.754	3.514	10.813	24.449	46.401	78.648	123.172	181.951	256.967	350.199
	Weight in Pounds 0	-3333.333	-2.504	2.778	8.937	20.676	39.795	→68,094	107.373	159.432	226.071	309.090		-3030.303	-2.277	3.056	9.831	22.744	43.775	74.904	118.111	175.376	248.678	339.999
		0.000	-3.333	2.400	8.100	→19.200	37.500	64.800	102.900	153.600	218.700	300.000		0.000	-3.030	2.640	8.910	→21.120	41.250	71.280	113.190	168.960	240.570	330.000
	Length Inches	0	10	20	80	40	50	09	70	80	6	100		0	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	06	100

Direct reading metric tables are also available. *All of Ritche's Fish Weight Length Condition Factor Tables are Copyright 1968 by Clark A. Ritchie – all rights reserved.

Figure 3

i



If n other than 3 is used, it must, in the writer's opinion in order to be useful and valid:

1. Cover the adult life span of the species.

2. Have the first and last observations occur at the same corresponding phase in the annual cyclic change.

3. Usually use two or more equations to fit the entire curve.

To reiterate, a simpler and more descriptive method of comparisons is in the use of the formula $W = CL^3 \times 10^{-5}$. Here, the weight-length relationship is governed by but one variable C which changes (usually) with length. Also, this formula is valid throughout the life span from the egg until old age. With the former method as reported by many writers, both n and c varied, which made for fuzzy comparisons and difficult calculations.

On log-log graph paper with the appropriate number of cycles and even of letterhead size, it is possible to trace the growth from the egg to old age with two place accuracy or better throughout. The dramatic change from the fertilized ovum where C = about 1900 for the fresh water fish egg (about 1950 for salt water eggs), diminishing fast to the C of the fry, fingerling, young adult, adult, and old age fish, may be thus graphically shown or described mathematically as Condition Factor varies with length. This will permit studies of fish comparisons, changes through the annual cycle and changes from year to year. It will also simplify comparative and analytical studies of specific species in any one body of water with those in any other body of water together with natural or controlled changes in food and all of the environmental factors and influences in the same or different habitats. Figure 4 illustrates a log log plot showing weight-length and Condition Factor of fish over part of the life span. Note that the slope of the line varies and changes erratically over different periods of observation with the greatest error in small increments of weight or length change.

Figure 5 illustrates a simple single setting circular computer which, with a turn of the dial, will solve with slide rule accuracy for:

1. Weight, with condition factor and length known.

Length, with condition factor and weight known.

3. Condition factor, with length and weight known.

4. K to C and C to K (same length basis).

A model is available with both English and metric scales which, in addition to the above, will:

5. Give answers in both systems--pounds and kilograms and inches and centimeters simultaneously.

6. With an overlay, read dressed weight in either system.

7. Give fillet weight in either system.

SUMMARY

A method of computation is presented and illustrated graphically. The variable power formula requiring logarithmic solution to determine weight, length or condition factor is unwieldy and time-consuming. It is generally recognized in the literature that to follow the life span of the fish this formula usually gravings variations. The formula which is valid for the life span of the fish is $W = CL \times 10^{\circ}$ where C varies with length. This formula is adaptable to one entry tabular solutions, and to simple, one setting dial computer solutions. Both the tables and the dial computer are available.



(EDITOR'S NOTE: This item is available to the profession at \$4.95 each postpaid or \$50.00 per dozen.)

C = 30

ANALOG COMPUTATION AND FISH POPULATION STUDIES¹

By C. E. Richards Virginia Institute of Marine Science Gloucester Point, Virginia 23062

The analog computer can give valuable assistance in the study of the dynamics of fish populations and has been used in yield analysis (Silliman, 1967), age and growth studies (Richards, 1968) and in studies of predator-prey relationships (Doi, 1962). In the near future, hybrid systems involving both the analog and digital computer will be used in the study of complete biological systems rather than isolated components. Mortality, fecundity, growth, intraspecific and interspecific relationships as they become known could be put together for system models. The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate some of the capability of the analog computer.

Segments or data blocks of a population study are growth in weight, mortality rates, and fecundity. These can be simulated by the analog computer. The expanded von Bertalanffy equation, where:

$$W_t = W_{e^{-3kt}} - 3W_{e^{-kt}} + 3W_{e^{-2kt}} - W_{e^{-3kt}}$$
 (1)

is written as the differential equation:

$$W_{t} = 3kW_{e}e^{-kt} - 6kW_{e}e^{-2kt} + 3W_{e}e^{-3kt}$$
 (2)

This equation is integrated and solved by the analog circuit:



Values of K and maximum weight are obtained through the technique described by Richards, (1968). Growth curves (Figs. 1-2) of female and male striped bass, (*Roccus saxatilis*), based on data from Mansueti (1961), are typically sigmoid.

¹Contribution No. 293 from Virginia Institute of Marine Science.