# MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS OF HEAVY HUNTING PRESSURE ON TEXAS WHITE-TAILED DEER ON THE KERR WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT AREA<sup>1</sup>

#### Bv

Robert L. Cook Kerr Wildlife Management Area Hunt, Texas

## ABSTRACT

Since 1954, 3,438 white-tailed deer have been harvested from 5,500 acres on the Kerr Wildlife Management Area. Initial attempts to reduce the deer herd by harvest were unsuccessful due to ingress of deer from adjoining ranches. Range recovery and habitat improvement were impossible to achieve because of the constant grazing pressure exerted by the extensive deer population. The over-all quality of harvested deer was poor. Deer-proof fences were erected around the wildlife area in 1968 and the inflow of deer from surrounding rances was stopped. Since 1968, the deer herd has been reduced from an estimated 1,038 deer to the present estimate of 320 deer by an average annual harvest of 27 percent. Data indicates that the heavy harvest has resulted in a younger age class herd, lower over-all fawn production, lower hunting success, and insufficient age in buck deer to produce trophy antlers. Favorable effects have been improved range conditions reflected by an increase in the quantity of preferred deer foods. an increase in body size of deer, better antler development within individual age classes, and improved animal vigor and survival. The cause of poor reproduction in young does was unknown.

#### INTRODUCTION

The effects of hunting pressure on the dense white-tailed deer herds of the Edwards Plateau of Texas have been considered negligible by wildlife biologists of the region (Teer, Thomas, and Walker, 1965), (Ramsey and Walker, 1954). (Thomas and Marburger, 1965a), (Thomas and Marburger, 1965b). Harvest estimates for the region have varied from an average 9-20 percent of the fall populations (Teer, Thomas, and Walker, 1965), Thomas and Marburger, 1965b). As a result of low annual harvest, the extremely high deer populations are subject to periodic, large scale die-offs (Thomas and Marburger, 1965a) and poor quality (Thomas and Marburger, 1965b). Hosley (1956) said that over a long period, an average of about 33 percent of a deer population could be removed by hunting, if both sexes were removed in near equal numbers. Chase and Jenkins (1962) reported that a herd on the George Reserve in Michigan produced a sustained yield of 37 percent from 1942 to 1961. Van Etten, et al., (1965) reported that from 1952 through 1958, an average of 44 percent of the fall deer population was removed annually, reducing the herd materially on the Cusino Wildlife Experiment Staion in Michigan. During an effort to reduce the deer population on the Kerr Wildlife Management Area to allow range recovery and improve the over-all quality of the deer herd, data became available that indicated the Area's deer population would not sustain the reported harvests of other states.

A contribution of Texas Pittman-Robertson Project W-76-R.

The 6,493 acre Kerr Wildlife Management Area is owned and operated by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department and is utilized as a research and demonstration area. Located in the western portion of Kerr County, Texas, it is representative of the Edwards Plateau ecological type which incompasses some 26,000 square miles or 18 million acres of central Texas. Mean annual precipitation on the study area was 24.61 inches with most of the moisture being received as rainfall during the late spring and summer months. Rainfall fluctuated between years and droughts lasting several months were common. Livestock ranching is the primary economic endeavor in the Edwards Plateau and the region is probably unique in its combined productivity of deer and livestock in high densities (Hahn, 1945), (Taylor and Hahn, 1947). Previous studies of its vegetation in relation to deer and livestock were reported by Buechner (1944). Taylor and Buechner (1943), and Whisenhunt (1949). On the Kerr Area, the prominent vegetation is a savannah of live oak (Ouercus virginiana), in which ashe juniper (Juniperus ashei), shin oak (Quercus breviloba), and Texas oak (Ouercus texana) are conspicuous. Understory species include catclaw (Acacia sp.), pricklypear (Opuntia atrospina), green briar (Smilax bonanox), agarito (Berberis trifoliolata), flameleaf sumac (Rhus copallina), woollybucket bumelia (Bumelia lanuginosa), Texas persimmon (Diospyros texana), sugar hackberry (Celtis laevigata), and elm (Ulmus spp.). Grasses include curlymesquite (Hilaria belangeri), bluestems (Andropogon spp.), needle grass (Aristida sp.), Texas wintergrass (Stipa leucotricha), hairy grama (Bouteloua hirsuta), and rescue grass (Bromus catharticus). Forbs found on the area include croton (Croton spp.), filaree (Erodium cicutarium), verbena (Verbena spp.), plantains (Plantago spp.), mat euphorbia (Euphorbia serpens), whorled nodviolet (Hybanthus verticillatus), and dayflower (Commelina spp.).

The wildlife area is presently stocked with Hereford cattle at a rate of one animal unit (A.U.) per 27 acres. Previous investigations on the Kerr Area have established desirable stocking rates (McMahan and Ramsey, 1965) and food habits of deer and three classes of domestic livestock (McMahan, 1964). A cow with or without calf was considered to be one A.U. (Merrill, 1957).

### METHODS

A population estimate of the deer herd on the Area was made annually, utilizing the walking-cruise census technique described by Hahn (1949) and by a 20mile census conducted from a vehicle by two ovservers with spotlights at night.

Annual public deer hunts were held on the Kerr Wildlife Area beginning in 1954. The hunts were held during the regular fall deer season which usually began about mid-November. Participating hunters were selected by impartial drawing from the total applicants. No fee was charged to hunt on the Area. The length of the open season varied from 5-42 days. The number of hunters participating in the free hunt was as few as 70 in 1954 and as many as 761 in 1968 depending upon the desired harvest. Each participating hunter could legally harvest one deer. In 1954 and 1957 only forked-antlered bucks were legal. In 1955, both sexes were legal but legal bucks had to have forked antlers. In all other years there were no restrictions as to sex or number of antler points. The total number of hunters was equally divided among days of the season and the individual hunter or groups of hunters were assigned specific pastures in which to hunt. Hunters were allowed to walk or still-hunt as they wished. Hunting from vehicles was not allowed. There were no blinds to hunt from other than a few brush-blinds constructed by hunters themselves. Legal weapons and valid hunting licenses with tags were required of all hunters. All participants were re-

quired to report wounded deer which escaped and dead deer found while hunting to assist in determination of crippling loss. All harvested deer were brought immediately to the deer check station where Area personnel aged, weighed, measured, and removed required internal organs or specimens. This complete examination allowed Area personnel to assess the over-all body condition and reproductive potential of each animal as well as collect much valuable data regarding age structure and quality of the herd. A concerted effort was begun in 1966 to reduce the deer herd significantly. This, however, was achieved only after the erection of a  $7\frac{1}{2}$  foot deer-proof fence in 1968. The construction of the fence not only allowed complete enclosure of the resident deer population on the 5,500 acre hunting area, but also stopped the ingress of deer from neighboring ranches. Prior to the construction of the fence, the deer on adjoining ranches were drawn to the Area's high quality ranges on which they found desirable forage plants and abundant cover. Therefore, the effect of the annual harvest was soon negated and the Kerr Area restocked with poor quality deer from adjoining ranches. It would have been virtually impossible to effectively manage and control the Area's deer populaion wihout the high fence.

Throughout the years, Area personnel regularly checked the changes and improvements in the deer range on the Area. Vegetative line transects throughout the area were read periodically and were supplemented by field observations. The quality of desired forage plants has consistently improved, particularly since erection of the high fence.

## FINDINGS

The estimated deer population on the Kerr Wildlife Area has fluctuated throughout the years, but showed a sudden unexplainable decrease in 1972 which cannot be directly attributed to hunting pressure (Table 1). The harvest averaged slightly over 23 percent during the nineteen year period. After the high fence was erected in 1968, an average of 27 percent of the fall pouplation was harvested annually.

The sudden decrease in the population cannot be written off as an error in census estimates because of the consistency of the counts, the age structure of recent harvests, and regular field observations which support the census data. The reproductive capacity of the herd should be excellent. However, conception rates based on the presence of corpora albicantia in the ovaries as described by Cheatum (1949) are low on the Area. Available data from the 1968, 1970, and 1971 hunting seasons shows that only 9 percent of the yearlings, 51 percent of the  $2\frac{1}{2}$  year olds, and 86 percent of  $3\frac{1}{2}$  through  $8\frac{1}{2}$  year olds had corpora albicantia in their ovaries indicating that they had conceived the previous mating season. Teer, et al. (1965) found that female deer in the Llano Basin of Central Texas had conception rates of 16 percent in fawns, 68 percent in yearlings, and an average of 81 percent in adults. The deer in the Llano Basin were on much poorer. ranges than that found on the Kerr Wildlife Area. Cattle on the wildlife area are infected with granular vaginitis, a veneral disease, which prevents conception. It is not known if the deer on the Area are also infected by vaginitis or a similar disease.

The deer harvest decreased steadily after the erection of the high fence in 1968 although the number of hunters remained fairly constant. Hunter success correspondingly dropped to 24 percent in 1971 and 27 percent in 1972. The percent of young animals in the harvest has increased significantly (Table 2).

Favorable effects of the reduced population in addition to improved range conditions were several.

First, there have been no deer die-offs on the Area since 1965, although they have been common to the region.

Estimated Number of Hunter
Success Harvested
52
0
48
6
58
52
<u>5</u> 6
51
52
51
81
<u></u>
0
37
84
0
32
4
La

Hunting	
Acre	
5,500	
Harvest	
and Ha	
Deer Census	
Deer	
Annual	
of	
ement Area. Summary of Annual Dee	
Area.	
Management	
Wildlife	i
Kerr V 1954-19	
Table 1.	

Year	Percent Total Fawns Harvested	Percent Total Yearlings Harvested	Combined Fawns and Yearlings Harvested	Percent Adults Harvested
1964	18%	27%	45%	55%
1965	20%	27%	47%	53%
1966	19%	23%	42%	58%
1967	21%	27%	48%	52%
*1968	21%	12%	33%	67%
1969	22%	25%	47%	53%
1970	31%	24%	55%	45%
1971	33%	24%	57%	43%
1972	32% *Date deer-proof	31% fence was erected	64%	36%

Table 2.	Kerr Wildlife Management Area Age Structure of	
	Harvest 1964-1972	

Second, no spike-antlered bucks have been harvested except in the yearling age class since 1968. Prior to construction of the high fence, approximately 75 percent of the yearling bucks were spike-antlered. In 1972 only about 30 percent of the yearlings had spikes.

In addition, prior to 1968 and the high fence, fawns harvested field dressed about 30 pounds. Fawns now average about 38 pounds (Table 3). Field dressed weights of all age classes show a definate increase, although data are not sufficient to draw conslusions at this time.

Except for one year, 1970, records of crippling losses have been accurately maintained since 1966. Hunters reported all dead deer found in the pasture and they were marked on maps. Area personnel checked the location of dead deer in the field to prevent duplications and to determine the animal's sex and age when possible. During the six year period, 164 deer were found dead in the field, increasing the known harvest by 9.7 percent.

Although the deer population on the Area is young and vigorous, it is obviously not without problems, the primary problem being that the young, growing females simply will not produce as many fawns as older does. In addition, although young bucks with ample food will produce above-average antlers for the region, the trophy heads which hunters desire are very infrequent in a young pouplation. Biologists will attempt to determine if the deer are infected with the vaginitis disease found in cattle on the Area. The deer population will be held near its present low level for several years to determine the effect on body size, antler development, reproduction, and range improvement.

Table 3. Kerr Wildlife Management Area Average Fawn Weights 1964-1972

Year	Field Dressed Weights in Pounds
1964	32.26
1965	28.95
1966	28.86
1967	31.72
1968	28.37
1969	31.80
1970	31.80
1971	39.15
1972	37.21

- Buechner, H. K. 1944. The range vegetation of Kerr County, Texas, in relation to white-tailed deer. Am. Midland Naturalist 31(3):697-743.
- Chase, W. W., and D. H. Jenkins. 1962. Productivity of the George Reserve deer herd. Proc. Natl. White-tailed Deer Disease Symp. 1:78-88.
- Cheatum, E. L. 1949. The use of corpora lutea for determining ovulation incidence and variations in fertility of the white-tailed deer. Cornell Vet. 39(3):282-291.
- Hahn, H. C., Jr. 1945. The white-tailed deer in the Edwards Plateau of Texas. Texas Game, Fish and Oyster Comm. 52 pp. Mimeo.

1949. A method of censusing deer and its application in the Edwards Plateau of Texas. Texas Game, Fish and Oyster Comm., Austin. 24 pp.

- Hosley, N. W. 1956. Management of the white-tailed deer in its environment. Pp. 187-259 in The Deer of North America, ed. by W. P. Taylor. The Stackpole Co., Harrisburg, Pa., and the Wildl. Mgmt. Inst., Washington, D. C. 668 pp.
- McMahan, C. A. 1964. Comparative food habits of deer and three classes of livestock. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 28(4):798-808.

, and C. W. Ramesy. 1965. Response of deer and livestock to controlled grazing in Central Texas. J. Range Mgmt. 18(1):1-7.

- Merrill, L. B. 1957. Livestock and deer ratios for Texas range lands. MP-221, Texas Agric. Exp. Sta. 9 pp.
- Ramsey, Bob and E. A. Walker. 1954. Take 'em or leave 'me. Texas Game, Fish and Oyster Comm. Austin, 6 pp.
- Taylor, W. P., and H. K. Buechner. 1943. Relationships of game and livestock to range vegetation in Kerr County, Texas. The Cattleman 29(10):81, 83-86.

, and H. C. Hahn, Jr. 1947. Die-offs among the whitetailed deer in the Edwards Plateau of Texas. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 11(4):317-32.

- Teer, James G., J. W. Thomas, and E. A. Walker. 1965. Ecology and management of white-tailed deer in the Llano Basin of Texas. Wildlife Monographs. No. 15. 62 pp.
- Thomas, J. W., and R. G. Marburger. 1965a. A die-off in white-tailed deer of the Central Mineral Region of Texas. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 29(4):706-716. 1965b. Quantity vs Quality. Texas Parks and Wildlife Department. 8 pp. Mimeo.
- Van Etten, R. C., D. F. Switzenberg, and L. Eberhardt. 1965. Controlled deer hunting in a square-mile enclosure. J. Wildl. Mgmt. 29(1):59-73.
- Whisenhunt, J. H., Jr. 1949. The flora of two experimental plots in Mason County, Texas, with special reference to its utilization by white-tailed deer. M.S. Thesis. Texas Argi. and Mech. College, College Station. 88 pp.