# Improved Efficiency in Aerial Surveys of Waterfowl using Catfish Ponds

- Mark W. Christopher, Mississippi Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, P.O. Drawer BX, Mississippi State, MS 39762
- **David E. Steffen,** *Mississippi Department of Wildlife Conservation,* P.O. Box 451, Jackson, MS 39205
- Edward P. Hill, Mississippi Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, P.O. Drawer BX, Mississippi State, MS 39762

Abstract: Data from 2 aerial surveys of waterfowl using catfish ponds were used to compare sampling efficiences between 2 stratified sampling designs and 2 completely random designs. Completely random designs produced slightly lower coefficients of variation (CV) than post-stratified sampling designs. The unbiased estimator was simplest to compute. Based on a curve established from proportions of catfish ponds sampled and CV's, sampling intensity may be reduced if lower levels of precision were acceptable. Sampling intensity can be reduced by employing a stratified design and optimal allocation of the sample size.

Proc. Annu. Conf. Southeast. Assoc. Fish and Wildl. Agencies 40:389-396

Studies of aerial survey sampling designs have dealt mostly with large mammals in Africa (Jolly 1969, Pennycuick 1969, Laws et al. 1975, Caughley 1977), and in North America (Siniff and Skoog 1964, LeResche and Rausch 1974). Evaluations of aerial waterfowl surveys have concentrated on sources of bias (Diem and Lu 1960, Martinson and Kaczynski 1967, Stott and Olson 1972, Savard 1982), but few have addressed survey designs which optimize sampling efficiency.

Periodic aerial surveys of waterfowl are conducted in the Delta Region of Mississippi by the Mississippi Department of Wildlife Conservation (DWC). Midwinter waterfowl surveys of the Delta Region are conducted annually by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and aerial surveys of catfish ponds (Christopher 1985) to determine seasonal population estimates are continuing as part of an independent research effort. Financial constraints associated with aerial surveys limit the frequency and duration of survey flights. During recent dry winter periods when seasonal wetlands were not available, catfish ponds were the main habitat surveyed. Improved sampling designs for surveying waterfowl on catfish ponds would enhance precision of population estimates, and would permit additional flight time to survey the region's other wetlands. We examined aerial survey sampling designs, sample sizes, and optimal allocation for population estimates of waterfowl using catfish ponds, with the objective of maximizing survey efficiency and reducing costs.

This paper is a contribution of the Mississippi Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Mississippi Department of Wildlife Conservation, Mississippi State University, and the Wildlife Management Institute, cooperating. Editorial reviews were provided by GA. Hurst, R. J. Muncy, R. E. Reagan, and R. J. Reiner. Thanks are extended to C. D. Mills for typing the manuscript.

## **Study Area**

The study area consisted of Humphreys, Holmes, Sharkey, Sunflower, Washington, Bolivar, and Leflore counties in the Delta Region of Mississippi. This 7-county area contained 80% (21,132 ha) of the catfish, bait, and crawfish impoundments in the region (Wellborn et al. 1985). Catfish impoundments were typically 8 ha and aggregated into complexes ranging from 20 to 850 ha. Humphreys County contained approximately 46% of the catfish impoundments, Sunflower County contained 25%, and the remaining counties averaged 6%. A more complete description of the catfish industry in Mississippi was presented by Wellborn (1983).

### Methods

We organized catfish impoundments into clusters (aggregates of >1 pond) for single stage cluster sampling (Cochran 1977, Schaeffer et al. 1979). We randomly selected 92 of 261 clusters for sampling during winter 1983–84. For the winter of 1984–85, we increased sampling to 309 to include newly constructed impoundments and those not delineated during the previous year; 115 clusters were randomly selected for sampling.

We flew weekly aerial surveys of the selected clusters from 5 November 1983 to 10 March 1984, and bi-weekly surveys from 17 October 1984 to 16 March 1985. Waterfowl populations and standard errors were estimated using the unbiased estimator and the ratio to size estimator (proportional to size) (Cochran 1977, Schaeffer et al. 1979).

We compared 2 post-stratified (sampling units allocated to strata after the survey) sampling designs against the unbiased estimator and ratio to size completely random estimator designs. We stratified by size of cluster and by geographic region. For the size stratification design, strata were classified as <40 ha, 40-12 ha, and >120 ha. For the geographic stratification design, Humphreys County was designated as a stratum, and the 6 other counties were a stratum.

We used sample variances of population estimates from the survey, with the largst CV for total waterfowl during the November-March surveying period. This

| Date and                 | Unbiased                        | estimator   | Ratio to size estimator |                 |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| waterfowl species        | Sample variance Population (CV) |             | Sample variance         | Population (CV) |  |
| 5 Nov 1983*              |                                 |             |                         |                 |  |
| Total                    | 1,116,826                       | 63,730 (36) | 921,693                 | 65,764 (32)     |  |
| Northern shoveler        | 307,547                         | 30,000 (40) | 257,490                 | 30,957 (36)     |  |
| Lesser scaup             | 15,665                          | 3,546 (77)  | 15,220                  | 3,659 (74)      |  |
| Ruddy duck               | 4,636                           | 3,120 (48)  | 4,182                   | 3,220 (44)      |  |
| American coot            | 39,427                          | 15,943 (27) | 31,341                  | 16,452 (24)     |  |
| 11 Nov 1984 <sup>b</sup> |                                 |             |                         |                 |  |
| Total                    | 926,680                         | 95,836 (23) | 812,876                 | 92,399 (22)     |  |
| Northern shoveler        | 106,508                         | 25,121 (30) | 92,576                  | 24,220 (29)     |  |
| Lesser scaup             | 34,745                          | 18,450 (23) | 33,603                  | 17,789 (24)     |  |
| Ruddy duck               | 29,278                          | 16,766 (23) | 24,669                  | 16,164 (22)     |  |
| American coot            | 76,839                          | 24,906 (26) | 72,279                  | 24,012 (26)     |  |
|                          |                                 |             |                         |                 |  |

 Table 1.
 Sample variances, population estimates, and coefficients of variation for completely random cluster sampling designs.

<sup>a</sup>N (sampling universe) = 261, n (sample size) = 92.

 ${}^{b}N = 309, n = 115.$ 

approach was used to maximize sample variances employed to test for an improved sampling design. The surveys used were 5 November 1983 (CV = 36%) and 11 November 1984 (CV = 23%). Sample variances, population estimates, and CV's used in the methods were calculated for total waterfowl, northern shoveler (*Anas clypeata*), ruddy duck (*Oxyura jamaicensis*), American coot (*Fulica americana*), and lesser scaup (*Aythya affinis*) for each of these surveys (Table 1).

We post-stratified the 5 November 1983 and 11 November 1984 data based on the 2 stratification designs. We calculated population estimates, stratum variances for each stratum, standard errors, and CV's (Schaeffer et al. 1979). We used CV as the measure of relative precision among estimators. Optimal allocations for stratified sampling (Schaeffer et al. 1979) were calculated using the sample variances and sizes for the geographic design. Three CV's (30, 36, 40 for 1983; 23, 30, 40 for 1984) were used as the basis for a 90% bound on the error of estimation. The bound on the error of estimation is a confidence interval and represents the desired level of precision that the new sample size should achieve. It is the product of the t value (at 90%, df = 120) and the standard error.

We applied several sample sizes to the unbiased estimator using the sample variances from the 2 surveys. CV's were calculated for each new sample size. Sample sizes, converted to proportion sampled, were plotted against the CV's to detect opportunities to reduce sample size.

### **Results**

Ratio to size and unbiased population estimates are presented in Table 1. Geographic stratification of 1983 (Table 2) data produced slightly larger CV's compared to the ratio to size estimator (Table 1) and produced larger CV's (Table 2) for all species (except total waterfowl and scaup) compared to the unbiased estimator

| Stratification scheme<br>and waterfowl species | Strata  | Nª                | n <sup>b</sup> | Variance          | Population (CV) |
|--|---|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Geographic region<br>Total                     | Humphreys                                     | 112               | 41             | 2,395,149         | 61,831 (35)     |
| Northern shoveler                              | Other counties<br>Humphreys<br>Other counties | 149<br>112<br>140 | 51<br>41<br>51 | 26,620<br>666,593 | 28,986 (41)     |
| Lesser scaup                                   | Humphreys<br>Other counties                   | 112<br>112<br>149 | 41<br>51       | 35,110            | 3,415 (76)      |
| Ruddy duck                                     | Humphreys<br>Other counties                   | 112<br>149        | 41<br>51       | 10,015<br>196     | 3,024 (54)      |
| American coot                                  | Humphreys<br>Other counties                   | 112<br>149        | 41<br>51       | 72,344<br>11,529  | 15,633 (36)     |
| Pond size                                      |   |                   |                |                   |                 |
| Total  | <40 ha  | 81                | 31             | 48,370.0          | 70,810 (35)     |
|  | 40–120 ha                                     | 138               | 48             | 29,548.4          |                 |
|  | >120 ha                                       | 42                | 13             | 6,500,480.6       |                 |
| Northern shoveler                              | <40 ha  | 81                | 31             | 4,195.3           | 33,726 (39)     |
|  | 40-120 ha                                     | 138               | 48             | 663,104.1         |                 |
|  | >120 ha                                       | 42                | 13             | 4,047.9           |                 |
| Lesser scaup                                   | <40 ha  | 81                | 31             | 103.2             | 3,488 (92)      |
| •  | 40–120 ha                                     | 138               | 48             | 40,103.8          |                 |
|  | >120 ha                                       | 42                | 13             | 0.0               |                 |
| Ruddy duck                                     | <40 ha  | 81                | 31             | 412.8             | 4,021 (44)      |
| -  | 40–120 ha                                     | 138               | 48             | 12,014.6          |                 |
|  | >120 ha                                       | 42                | 13             | 112.6             |                 |
| American coot                                  | <40 ha  | 81                | 31             | 25,029.6          | 17,216 (23)     |
|  | 40-120 ha                                     | 138               | 48             | 44,345.5          |                 |
|  | >120 ha                                       | 42                | 13             | 15,705.6          |                 |

**Table 2.** Geographic and pond size strata, sample variances, population estimates, and coefficients of variation from 2 post-stratified sampling designs for 5 November 1983 data.

<sup>a</sup>Sampling universe. <sup>b</sup>Sample size.

"Variance calculated using the unbiased estimator.

(Table 1). Stratification by size of cluster resulted in larger CV's (Table 2), compared to the ratio to size and unbiased estimators (Table 1).

Geographic stratification for 1984 data (Table 3), compared to the completely random designs (Table 1) produced similar CV's. We noted similar results for size stratification (Table 3) which resulted in similar or slightly larger CV's than the completely random designs (Table 1). For both aerial samplings the completely random design, using the ratio to size estimator, more consistantly yielded the smallest CV's (Table 1).

Sampling intensity could be reduced based on optimal allocation of the geographic stratification design (Table 4). During 1983, 92 clusters were surveyed producing a CV of 36% for total waterfowl. Sample size could be reduced to 49 clusters (Table 4) at the same CV. Likewise for 1984, 115 clusters were surveyed and by optimal allocation the sample size could be reduced to 106 clusters.

| Stratification scheme<br>and waterfowl species | Strata         | Nª  | n <sup>b</sup> | Variance <sup>c</sup> | Population (CV) |
|--|----------------|-----|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Geographic region                              |                |     |                |                       |                 |
| Total  | Humphreys      | 137 | 55             | 1,411,124             | 96,110 (22)     |
|  | Other counties | 172 | 60             | 503,635               |                 |
| Northern shoveler                              | Humphreys      | 137 | 55             | 231,825               | 25,178 (30)     |
|  | Other counties | 172 | 60             | 28,066                |                 |
| Lesser scaup                                   | Humphreys      | 137 | 55             | 36,581                | 18,514 (23)     |
| 1  | Other counties | 172 | 60             | 34,701                |                 |
| Ruddy duck                                     | Humphreys      | 137 | 55             | 61,796                | 16,820 (23)     |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·          | Other counties | 172 | 60             | 8,170                 |                 |
| American coot                                  | Humphreys      | 137 | 55             | 92,570                | 25,015 (26)     |
|  | Other counties | 172 | 60             | 68,550                | ,               |
| Pond size                                      |                |     |                |                       |                 |
| Total  | <40 ha         | 100 | 37             | 2,904.9               | 91,120 (23)     |
|  | 40–120 ha      | 163 | 61             | 471,356.3             |                 |
|  | >120 ha        | 46  | 17             | 4,135,242.3           |                 |
| Northern shoveler                              | <40 ha         | 100 | 37             | 1,367.6               | 23,879 (30)     |
|  | 40–120 ha      | 163 | 61             | 27,381.9              |                 |
|  | >120 ha        | 46  | 17             | 565,337.7             |                 |
| Lesser scaup                                   | <40 ha         | 100 | 37             | 560.2                 | 17,303 (24)     |
|  | 40-120 ha      | 163 | 61             | 47,886.1              |                 |
|  | >120 ha        | 46  | 17             | 53,903.1              |                 |
| Ruddy duck                                     | <40 ha         | 100 | 37             | 0.0                   | 15,604 (24)     |
|  | 40–120 ha      | 163 | 61             | 13,433.3              |                 |
|  | >120 ha        | 46  | 17             | 127,041.4             |                 |
| American coot                                  | <40 ha         | 100 | 37             | 1,090.5               | 24,583 (26)     |
|  | 40-120 ha      | 163 | 61             | 77,006.6              |                 |
|  | >120 ha        | 46  | 17             | 235,446.1             |                 |

Table 3. Geographic and pond size strata, sample variances, populations, and coefficients of variation from 2 post-stratified sampling designs for 11 November 1984 data.

<sup>a</sup>Sampling universe. <sup>b</sup>Sample size. <sup>c</sup>Variance calculated using the unbiased estimator.

| Year | Coefficient<br>of variation | Total sample | Humphreys sample (%) | Other counties<br>sample (%) |
|------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| 1983 | 30                          | 61           | 54 (88)              | 7 (12)                       |
|      | 36                          | 49           | 43 (88)              | 6 (12)                       |
|      | 40                          | 42           | 37 (88)              | 5 (12)                       |
| 1984 | 23                          | 106          | 60 (57)              | 46 (43)                      |
|      | 30                          | 73           | 42 (57)              | 31 (43)                      |
|      | 40                          | 46           | 26 (57)              | 20 (43)                      |

Table 4. Total and stratum sample sizes based on optimal allocation.





Sampling intensity for completely random designs (proportional percentage) for various CV's can be determined for each year (Fig. 1). For both years, reduction of the sample size, in a completely random design, would mean substantial increases in the CV's. Reducing the proportion sampled during 1983–84 to about 25% increases the CV to 47% (Fig. 1), while similarly reducing the proportion sampled for 1984–85 produces a CV of 32%.

#### Discussion

Based on survey data and observations, a maximum acceptable CV for total waterfowl might be between 40% and 50%. It was believed that species population estimates with CV's above 40% to 50% were not reliable. Populations of canvasbacks (*Aythya valisineria*), hooded mergansers (*Mergus cucullatus*), and buffleheads (*Bucephala albeola*) were often clumped and substantial numbers concentrated on ponds not sampled. CV's for these species were typically above 40% and often above 50%. Based on these observations, we believed that these levels of precision were a theoretical maximum acceptable for estimating populations. Completely random sampling of the catfish pond clusters between 20% and 30% could

produce this level of precision for total waterfowl (40%-50%) for the least precise survey.

Various stratified sampling designs did not produce consistently lower CV's, and stratifying required more record-keeping and slightly more complicated analysis. The ratio to size estimator required an annual updating of data on the surface area of fish farms being surveyed and necessitated computation of sample variance. Requirements were not difficult to meet, but the slightly smaller level of precision may not justify the extra clerical and computational effort. Ratio to size CV's were in most cases between 1% and 5% lower than those computed from the unbiased estimator. The lower CV's resulted in a significant difference between shoveler population estimates (Christopher 1985). For research purposes, a reduction in variance may be desirable, but for management purposes, this benefit may not be justified.

Optimal allocation of the sample size between the 2 geographic strata would be the most efficient scheme for designing catfish pond surveys in Mississippi, and possibly aquacultural impoundments in other states. Concentrating survey efforts in the areas of highest pond densities should reduce flight time and produce acceptable levels of precision. More specifically, we suggest using the largest sample sizes required for each strata, regardless of sampling year. Assuming that the between-year-differences we encountered are representative, sampling at these levels should produce acceptable CV's ( $\leq 40\%$ ) regardless of the changes in waterfowl distribution between years. For example, we recommend sampling 37 clusters in Humphreys County and 20 clusters in the other counties (Table 4) to achieve a CV of 40%. These strata sample sizes represent the most clusters that would need to be sampled regardless of the sampling year. Overall, this sample represents 18% sampling proportion.

By allowing for the largest sample size required in each strata, regardless of year, sampling in 1 of the strata will always be heavier than necessary. As a result the CV's will be lower than initially expected. To continue our example of sample sizes based on within-year-CV's equal to 40%, expected CV's would actually be 39% and 36% for 1983 and 1984, respectively.

Because permanent and seasonal natural wetlands contain the vast majority of game ducks and geese, proportional effort may be needed to survey these areas. An optimally allocated stratified design that produced an acceptable level of precision for catfish ponds may be appropriate. To fully examine the potential of a stratified design for surveying waterfowl on catfish ponds, surveying of other wetlands must be considered. In 6 of 7 counties surveyed many catfish pond clusters surveyed were several miles apart requiring extended flying time between clusters. Sampling more intensively in counties with heavy catfish pond concentrations, and less in ajoining counties, should increase flight time available for surveying other permanent and seasonal wetlands.

### Conclusions

We recommend that optimal allocation of a geographic design be used for aerial surveys of waterfowl using aquacultural and wetland habitats similar to Mississipppi catfish ponds. The techniques of using a geographic or size stratified design and optimal allocation may be useful for other aerial surveys of wildlife. Sample variances used in this paper may be useful to other agency personnel who are designing surveys of aquacultural impoundments and other permanent wetlands. Sampling intensity is much less with optimal allocation, although completely random sampling at an intensity of 20% to 40% of the impoundment surface areas should produce acceptable levels of precision. Because the optimal allocation discussed here may be unique to pond habitats in Mississippi, it may be more appropriate to use completely random or various stratified designs for other wetlands.

### **Literature Cited**

Caughley, G. 1977. Sampling in aerial survey. J. Wildl. Manage, 41:605-615.

- Christopher, M. W. 1985. Wintering waterfowl use of catfish ponds in the Delta region of Mississippi. M.S. Thesis, Mississippi State Univ., Mississippi State. 166pp.
- Cochran, W. G. 1977. Sampling techniques, 3rd ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 428pp.
- Diem, K. L. and K. H. Lu. 1960. Factors influencing waterfowl censuses in parklands, Alberta, Canada. J. Wildl. Manage. 24:113–133.
- Jolly, G. M. 1969. Sampling methods for aerial censuses of wildlife populations. E. Afr. Agric. For. J. 34:46-49.
- Laws, R. M., I. S. C. Parker, and R. C. B. Johnstone. 1975. Elephants and their habitats. Clarendon Press, Oxford. 376pp.
- LeResche, R. E. and R. A. Rausch. 1974. Accuracy and precision of aerial moose censusing. J. Wildl. Manage. 38:175-182.
- Martinson, K. R. and C. F. Kaczynski. 1967. Factors influencing waterfowl counts or aerial surveys, 1961–66. U.S. Fish and Wildl. Serv. Spec. Sci. Rep. Wildl. 105.
- Pennycuick, C. J. 1969. Methods of using light aircraft in wildlife biology. E. Afr. Agric. For. J. 34:24-28.
- Savard, J. P. L. 1982. Variability of waterfowl aerial surveys: observer and air-ground comparisons—a preliminary report. Can. Wildl. Ser., Proc. Note No. 127. 6pp.
- Schaeffer, R. L., W. Mendenhall, and L. Ott. 1979. Elementary survey sampling, 2nd ed. Duxburg Press, N. Scituate, Mass. 278pp.
- Siniff, D. B. and R. O. Skoog. 1964. Aerial censusing of caribou using stratified random sampling. J. Wildl. Manage. 28:391-401.
- Stott, R. S. and D. P. Olson. 1972. An evaluation of waterfowl surveys on the New Hampshire Coastline. J. Wildl. Manage. 36:467-477.
- Wellborn, T. L. 1983. The catfish story: Farmers, state services create new industry. Pages 298-305 in J. Hayes, ed. Using our Natual Resources. USDA No. 83-983, 572pp.
- ——, R. M. Durborow, and J. R. MacMillian. 1985. For fish farming, newsletter. 15 January 1985. Coop. Ext. Serv. Miss. State Univ. 7pp.